Message

5.5

From: Block, Molly [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=60D0C681A16441A0B4FA16AA2DD4B9C5-BLOCK, MOLL]

Sent: 9/10/2018 7:40:16 PM

To: Woods, Clint [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc65010f5c2e48f4bc2aa050db50d198-Woods, Clin]

CC: Konkus, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=555471b2baa6419e8e141696f4577062-Konkus, Joh]; Hewitt, James

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=41b19dd598d340bb8032923d902d4bd1-Hewitt, Jam]

Subject: RE: Question re particulate matter assessment

```
Great, will send it over.

----Original Message----
From: Woods, Clint
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2018 3:39 PM
To: Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov>
CC: Konkus, John <konkus.john@epa.gov>; Hewitt, James <hewitt.james@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Question re particulate matter assessment

No concerns from our end on that draft response

> On Sep 10, 2018, at 2:07 PM, Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov> wrote:

> Thanks Clint! I'll wait for the ok.

> Sent from my iPhone
> On Sep 10, 2018, at 2:07 PM, Woods, Clint <woods.clint@epa.gov> wrote:
>> Waiting for feedback from Bill and Mandy but draft response below (will confirm or edit before 3:30):
```

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

```
>>
>>> On Sep 10, 2018, at 1:32 PM, Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov> wrote:
>>> See inquiry below
>>>
    ----Original Message-
>>>
>>> From: Stuart Parker [mailto:stuart.parker@iwpnews.com]
>>> Sent: Monday, September 10, 2018 1:31 PM
>>> To: Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov>; Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov> >>> Cc: Jeremy Bernstein <jeremy.bernstein@iwpnews.com>
>>> Subject: Question re particulate matter assessment
>>> Importance: High
>>>
>>> Robert, Molly, Hi.
>>>
>>> I have a question for you regarding the conduct of the review of national ambient air quality
standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter.
>>>
>>> I understand Clint Woods sought to amend a draft version of the Integrated Science Assessment (ISA)
for particulate matter before it went to the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC). This
appears to be at odds with the usual practice that the Office of Research and Development is responsible
for drafting the ISA without intervention by the air office and its political appointees. Please comment.
>>>
>>> We are working on a story on this for today, our deadline for comment is 4pm,
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>>
>>> Stuart Parker
```

EPA-HQ-2019-0221